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#### Abstract

Let $\mathscr{G} \cong \mathbb{Z} / n_{1} \mathbb{Z} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{Z} / n_{r} \mathbb{Z}$ be a finite abelian group of rank $r$, where $n_{j} \mid n_{j+1}$ for $j=1, \ldots, r-1$. Let $\tau(\mathscr{G})$ be the number of subgroups of $\mathscr{G},|\mathscr{G}|$ the order of $\mathscr{G}$ and $r(\mathscr{G})$ the rank of $\mathscr{G}$. In this paper we investigate carefully the asymptotic behaviour of the level function $\ell_{\tau}^{(r)}(n):=\sum_{|\mathcal{G}|=n, r(\mathscr{G}) \leqq r} \tau(\mathscr{G})$ for $r=2$. In particular we prove that $$
\sum_{n \leqq x} \ell_{\tau}^{(2)}(n)=A_{1} x(\log x)^{2}+A_{2} x \log x+A_{3} x+\Delta(x)
$$


where $A_{i}$-s are constants, $\Delta(x) \ll x^{5 / 8}(\log x)^{4}$ and $\Delta(x)=\Omega_{-}\left(x^{1 / 2}(\log x)^{2}\right)$.

1. Introduction. The asymptotic behaviour of the number of subgroups of certain types of groups, like torsion free nilpotent groups, is currently being investigated (see [7], [14]). For abelian groups, the average number of their formal direct factors and their formal unitary factors are known (see [5], [11]). The asymptotic behaviour of the number of subgroups of abelian groups, however, has received little attention. Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a finite abelian group of rank $r$, i.e.

$$
\mathscr{G} \cong \mathbb{Z} / n_{1} \mathbb{Z} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{Z} / n_{r} \mathbb{Z}
$$

where $n_{j} \mid n_{j+1}$ for $j=1, \ldots, r-1$. We write $\tau(\mathscr{G})$ for the number of subgroups of $\mathscr{G},|\mathscr{G}|=n_{1} \cdots n_{r}$ for the order of $\mathscr{G}$ and $r(\mathscr{G})$ for the rank of $\mathscr{G}$. We introduce the level function $\ell_{\tau}^{(r)}(n)$ of $\tau(\mathscr{G})$, defined by

$$
\ell_{\tau}^{(r)}(n):=\sum_{|\mathscr{G}|=n, r(\mathscr{G}) \leqq r} \tau(\mathscr{G}),
$$

which is the number of subgroups of finite abelian groups $\mathscr{G}$ satisfying $r(\mathscr{G}) \leqq r$ and $|\mathscr{G}|=n$. We are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of this function.

It has recently been proved that there is a natural bijection between the set of subgroups of a finite abelian group $\mathscr{G}$ of rank $r$ and the set of divisors of an $r \times r$ Smith Normal Form (SNF) matrix $S:=\operatorname{diag}\left[n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right]$ (see Corollary 1 of [3]). Let $\tau(S)$ be the number of (inequivalent) factorizations of $S$, we have $\tau(S)=\tau(\mathscr{G})$. We define the Dirichlet series associated to $\tau(S)$ and to $\tau(\mathscr{G})$ as

$$
D_{S}^{(r)}(\tau, s):=\sum_{S \text { in SNF }} \frac{\tau(S)}{\left(n_{1} \cdots n_{r}\right)^{s}}, \quad \mathscr{D}^{(r)}(\tau, s):=\sum_{r(\mathscr{G}) \leqq r} \frac{\tau(\mathscr{G})}{|\mathscr{G}|^{s}} .
$$

Obviously these two series are equal. For $r \geqq 3$, a usable Dirichlet series is still under investigation and henceforth the parameter $r$, when suppressed, will be understood to be 2 . We know, from Corollary 1 of [2], that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}(\tau, s)=\zeta(s)^{2} \zeta(2 s-1) G(s) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function and $G(s):=\zeta(2 s)^{3} \prod_{p}\left(1-2 p^{-3 s}-p^{-4 s}+2 p^{-5 s}\right)$ is a Dirichlet series absolutely convergent $\mathfrak{R e} s>\frac{1}{2}$. Using (1.1), Bhowmik and Menzer [1] have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leqq x} \ell_{\tau}(n)=A_{1} x(\log x)^{2}+A_{2} x \log x+A_{3} x+\Delta(x), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}$-s are computable constants and $\Delta(x) \ll_{\varepsilon} x^{31 / 43+\varepsilon}(\forall \varepsilon>0)$.
In this paper, we first study the error term $\Delta(x)$ more carefully. We notice that by an application of Dirichlet's hyperbola principle, the result of Huxley ([8], Corollary) concerning the divisor problem of Dirichlet implies $\Delta(x) \ll x^{123 / 173}(\log x)^{607 / 146}$, which improves the result in [1]. Here we shall use another method to treat $\Delta(x)$. We require a result for a weighted three dimensional divisor problem, for which effective results do not seem to be available. We use multiple exponential sum techniques to prove the following result.

Theorem 1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}^{2} \leqq x} n_{3}=B_{1} x(\log x)^{2}+B_{2} x \log x+B_{3} x+O\left(x^{5 / 8}(\log x)^{4}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{j}$-s are some computable constants.
With the aid of (1.3), we are able to obtain, by a convolution argument, a sharper estimate.
Theorem 2. We have $\Delta(x) \ll x^{5 / 8}(\log x)^{4}$.
For comparison, we have $\frac{31}{43} \approx 0.7209, \frac{123}{173} \approx 0.7109, \frac{5}{8}=0.625$.
By considering the contribution of the second pole $s=\frac{1}{2}$ of $\mathscr{D}(\tau, s) x^{s} / s$, we could expect to get the following "asymptotic" formula

$$
\Delta(x)=A_{4} x^{1 / 2}(\log x)^{2}+A_{5} x^{1 / 2} \log x+A_{6} x^{1 / 2}+E(x)
$$

where the first three terms are the residue of $\mathscr{D}(\tau, s) x^{s} / s$ at $s=\frac{1}{2}$ and $E(x)$ (defined by the preceding relation) is an "error term". In this direction, we can give a non trivial upper bound for the average order of $E(x)$, i.e.

Theorem 3. For any $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} E(u) d u \lll{ }_{\varepsilon} x^{3 / 8+\varepsilon}
$$

As a consequence of Theorem 3, we state the following $\Omega$-type estimate for $\Delta(x)$.
Corollary 1. We have $\Delta(x)=\Omega_{-}\left(x^{1 / 2}(\log x)^{2}\right)$.
For a smooth point-wise bound, we have the following result.

Theorem 4. (i) A maximal order for the function $\ell_{\tau}(n)$ is $C_{0} \sqrt{n}\left(\log _{2} n\right)^{6}$, i.e.

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell_{\tau}(n)}{\sqrt{n}\left(\log _{2} n\right)^{6}}=C_{0}
$$

where $C_{0}:=e^{6 \gamma} \prod_{p}(1-1 / p)^{6}\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2 j+1)(j+1) / p^{j}\right)$ and $\gamma$ is the Euler constant.
(ii) A minimal order for $\ell_{\tau}(n)$ is 2 .

We can establish the following $\Omega$-type result for $E(x)$.
Corollary 2. For infinitely many $x$, we have $|E(x+1)-E(x)| \gg \sqrt{x}\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{6}$. In particular, we have $E(x)=\Omega\left(x^{1 / 2}\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{6}\right)$.

A normal order for $\log \ell_{\tau}^{(r)}(n)$ has been shown to be $(\log 2) \log _{2} n$ in [4]. As usual, let $\omega(n)$ be the number of distinct prime factors of the integer $n$. The following result shows that the sum $\sum_{n \leqq x} \ell_{\tau}(n)$ is dominated by a small number of "abnormal" integers $n$, for which $\ell_{\tau}(n)$ is large.

Theorem 5. For any $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{n \leqq x \\\left|\omega(n)-3 \log _{2} x\right|>\varepsilon \log _{2} x}} \ell_{\tau}(n) \ll x(\log x)^{2-3 \eta} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\eta:=\min \left\{\int_{1}^{1+\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon} \log t d t, \int_{1-\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon}^{1} \log (1 / t) d t\right\}>0
$$

In particular, the mean value $(1 / x) \sum_{n \leqq x} \ell_{\tau}(n)$ is given by the natural numbers $n$ such that $\omega(n)=3 \log _{2} x+O\left(\xi(x) \sqrt{\log _{2} x}\right)$, where $\xi(x)=o\left(\sqrt{\log _{2} x}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.
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2. Estimate for exponential sums. In this section, we give an estimate for exponential sums, which is essentially a general form of the first estimate in Lemma 6 of Kolesnik [10].

Lemma 2.1. Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\left(\alpha_{2}-2\right)\left(\alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{2}-2\right) \prod_{1 \leqq j \leqq 2} \alpha_{j}\left(\alpha_{j}-1\right)\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-j\right) \neq 0$, $X>0, M_{1}, M_{2} \geqq 1, \mathscr{L}:=\log \left(X M_{1} M_{2}+2\right)$. Suppose $\mathbf{D} \subseteq\left(M_{1}, 2 M_{1}\right] \times\left(M_{2}, 2 M_{2}\right]$ is a domain such that conditions $\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ and $\left.\left(\Omega_{3}\right)^{*}\right)$ are satisfied for $f\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right):=$ $\left(X / M_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} M_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}\right) m_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} m_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}$ on D. Let

$$
S:=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{D}} \sum e\left(f\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right)
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S \ll & \left\{\left(X^{2} M_{1}^{3} M_{2}^{3}\right)^{1 / 6}+\left(X^{2} M_{1}^{5} M_{2}\right)^{1 / 6}+M_{1} M_{2}^{1 / 2}+\left(X^{3} M_{1}^{7}\right)^{1 / 8}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(X M_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(X^{2} M_{1}^{8} M_{2}\right)^{1 / 8}+X^{-1 / 2} M_{2}+X^{-1} M_{1} M_{2}\right\} \mathscr{L} .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]For proving this lemma, we need a well known result of Kolesnik [10] in monomial case. Here we take essentially the form of Theorem 6.12 of [6].

Lemma 2.2. Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\prod_{1 \leqq j \leqq 2} \alpha_{j}\left(\alpha_{j}-1\right)\left(\alpha_{j}-2\right)\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-j\right) \neq 0, M_{1} \geqq M_{2} \geqq 1$, $X>0, \mathscr{L}:=\log \left(X M_{1} M_{2}+2\right)$. Let $\mathbf{D}, f\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right), S$ be defined as in Lemma 2.1. Then we have

$$
S \ll\left\{\left(X^{2} M_{1}^{3} M_{2}^{3}\right)^{1 / 6}+\left(M_{1} M_{2}\right)^{5 / 6}+M_{1} M_{2}^{1 / 2}+\left(X^{-1} M_{1}^{7} M_{2}^{8}\right)^{1 / 8}+X^{-1 / 4} M_{1} M_{2}\right\} \mathscr{L}^{1 / 2} .
$$

Proof. By (6.4.5) of [6], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S \ll & \left\{\left(X^{2} M_{1}^{3} M_{2}^{3}\right)^{1 / 6}+\left(M_{1} M_{2}\right)^{5 / 6}+\left(X^{2} M_{1}^{13} M_{2}^{25}\right)^{1 / 26}+\left(M_{1}^{5} M_{2}^{8}\right)^{1 / 8}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(X^{2} M_{1}^{7} M_{2}^{11}\right)^{1 / 14}+\left(M_{1}^{2} M_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(X^{-1} M_{1}^{7} M_{2}^{8}\right)^{1 / 8}+\left(X^{-1} M_{1}^{4} M_{2}^{4}\right)^{1 / 4}\right\} \mathscr{L}^{1 / 2} \\
= & \left(E_{1}+\cdots+E_{8}\right) \mathscr{L}^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $M_{1} \geqq M_{2}$, it is easy to see that $E_{4} \leqq E_{2}$ and

$$
E_{3}=\left(E_{1}^{63} E_{7}^{80}\right)^{1 / 143}\left(M_{1}^{15} / M_{2}^{13}\right)^{-2 / 143}, \quad E_{5}=\left(E_{1}^{45} E_{7}^{32}\right)^{1 / 77}\left(M_{1}^{2} / M_{2}\right)^{-6 / 77} .
$$

Thus $E_{3}, E_{4}, E_{5}$ are superfluous. This proves Lemma 2.2.
Proof of Lemma 2.1. If $M_{2}^{\prime}:=X / M_{2} \leqq \frac{1}{2}$, Kusmin-Landau's inequality (Theorem 2.1 of [6]) implies the desired estimate. Next we suppose $M_{2}^{\prime} \geqq \frac{1}{2}$. Applying Lemma 2.2 of [13] to $m_{2}$, estimating the contribution of error term by Lemma 2.3 of [13] with $n=m_{1}$ and removing smooth coefficients by tartial summation, we easily find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \ll X^{-1 / 2} M_{2} T+\left(X^{1 / 2}+M_{1}+X^{-1 / 2} M_{2}+X^{-1} M_{1} M_{2}\right) \mathscr{L}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
T:=\sum_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{D}^{\prime}} e\left(f_{1}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right), \quad f_{1}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right):=\tilde{\alpha}_{2}\left(X / M_{1}^{\beta_{1}} M_{2}^{\prime \beta_{2}}\right) m_{1}^{\beta_{1}} m_{2}^{\prime \beta_{2}}
$$

and $\beta_{1}:=\alpha_{1} /\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right), \beta_{2}:=-\alpha_{2} /\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right), \tilde{\alpha}_{2}:=\left|1-\alpha_{2}\right|\left|\alpha_{2}\right|^{-\beta_{2}}$, and $\mathbf{D}^{\prime}$ is a suitable subregion of $\left(M_{1}, 2 M_{1}\right] \times\left(M_{2}^{\prime}, 2 M_{2}^{\prime}\right]$.
If $M_{1} \geqq M_{2}^{\prime}$, we can use Lemma 2.2 with $\left(X, M_{1}, M_{2}\right)=\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{2} X, M_{1}, M_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ to estimate $T$. This yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{-1 / 2} M_{2} T \ll & \left\{\left(X^{2} M_{1}^{3} M_{2}^{3}\right)^{1 / 6}+\left(X^{2} M_{1}^{5} M_{2}\right)^{1 / 6}\right. \\
& \left.+M_{1} M_{2}^{1 / 2}+\left(X^{3} M_{1}^{7}\right)^{1 / 8}+X^{1 / 4} M_{1}\right\} \mathscr{L} . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

When $M_{1} \leqq M_{2}^{\prime}$, we use Lemma 2.2 with $\left(X, M_{1}, M_{2}\right)=\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{2} X, M_{2}^{\prime}, M_{1}\right)$ to write

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{-1 / 2} M_{2} T \ll & \left\{\left(X^{2} M_{1}^{3} M_{2}^{3}\right)^{1 / 6}+\left(X^{2} M_{1}^{5} M_{2}\right)^{1 / 6}\right.  \tag{2.3}\\
& \left.+\left(X M_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(X^{2} M_{1}^{8} M_{2}\right)^{1 / 8}+X^{1 / 4} M_{1}\right\} \mathscr{L} .
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting (2.2) and (2.3) in (2.1), we obtain the required result.
3. Weighted 3-dimensional divisor problem and proof of Theorem 1. The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1, which, as well as being of independent interest, is a key step in the proof of Theorem 2.

We first introduce some notations. Let $\mathbf{a}:=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbf{b}:=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)^{3}$ with $0<b_{1} \leqq b_{2} \leqq b_{3}$. Let $\pi(1,2,3)$ be the set of all permutations of $(1,2,3)$ and the notation $\mathbf{k}:=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right) \in \pi(1,2,3)$ means that $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ runs over all permutations of $(1,2,3)$. We write $\{t\}$ for the fractional part of $t$ and put $\psi(t):=\{t\}-\frac{1}{2}$. Defining weighted 3-dimensional divisor function

$$
\tau(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} ; n):=\sum_{\substack{n_{1}^{b_{1}} n_{2}^{b_{2}} n_{3}^{b_{3}}=n}} n_{1}^{a_{1}} n_{2}^{a_{2}} n_{3}^{a_{3}} .
$$

We denote by $\Delta(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} ; x)$ the error term in the weighted three dimensional divisor problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} ; x):=\sum_{n \leqq x} \tau(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} ; n)=\text { main terms }+\Delta(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} ; x) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2), (3) and (4) of [16], we have

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} ; x)=-\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \pi(1,2,3)}\left\{\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} ; x)+\sum_{1 \leqq j \leqq 3} O\left(x^{\left(a_{k_{1}}+\cdots+a_{k_{j}}+j-2\right) /\left(b_{k_{1}}+\cdots+b_{k_{j}}\right)}\right)\right\},
$$

where

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} ; x):=x^{a k_{3} / b_{k_{3}}} \sum_{1} n_{1}^{a_{k_{1}}-a a_{k_{3}} b_{k_{1}} / b_{k_{3}}} n_{2}^{a_{k_{2}}-a_{k_{3}} b_{k_{2}} / b_{k_{3}}} \psi\left(\left(x / n_{1}^{b_{k_{1}}} n_{2}^{b_{k_{2}}}\right)^{1 / b_{k_{3}}}\right)
$$

and the summation condition of $\sum_{1}$ is given by
$\operatorname{SC}\left(\sum_{1}\right) \quad n^{b_{k_{1}}} n_{2}^{b_{k_{2}}+b_{k_{3}}} \leqq x, \quad n_{1}(\leqq) n_{2}$.
The notation $n_{1}(\leqq) n_{2}$ means that $n_{1}=n_{2}$ for $b_{k_{1}}<b_{k_{2}}$, and $n_{1}<n_{2}$ otherwise.
As usual, for bounding $\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} ; x)$, it is sufficient to consider the truncated sum

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} ; x):=x^{a_{k_{3}} / b_{k_{3}}} \sum_{2} n_{1}^{a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{3}} b_{k_{1}} / b_{k_{3}}} n_{2}^{a_{k_{2}}-a_{k_{3}} b_{k_{2}} / b_{k_{3}}} \psi\left(\left(x / n_{1}^{b_{k_{1}}} n_{2}^{b_{k_{2}}}\right)^{1 / b_{k_{3}}}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{N}:=\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, and the summation condition of $\sum_{2}$ is given by
$\operatorname{SC}\left(\sum_{2}\right) \quad n_{1}^{b_{k_{1}}} n_{2}^{b_{k_{2}}+b_{k_{3}}} \leqq x, \quad n_{1}(\leqq) n_{2}, \quad N_{1}<n_{1} \leqq 2 N_{1}, \quad N_{2}<n_{2} \leqq 2 N_{2}$.
Obviously, Theorem 1 is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} ; x) \ll x^{5 / 8}(\log x)^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathbf{a}=(0,0,1), \mathbf{b}=(1,1,2)$ and all $\mathbf{k} \in \pi(1,2,3)$.
For this, we first establish a general estimate, which is valid for any $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, defined as in the beginning of this section. In the sequel, we write $\mathscr{L}:=\log x$.

Lemma 3.1. Under the preceding notations, we have

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} ; x) \ll \Xi\left\{\left(G^{2} N_{1}^{5} N_{2}^{5}\right)^{1 / 8}+\left(G N_{1}^{2} N_{2}\right)^{1 / 3}\right\} \mathscr{L}^{2}
$$

with $G:=\left(x / N_{1}^{b_{k_{1}}} N_{2}^{b_{k_{2}}}\right)^{1 / b_{k_{3}}}$ and $\Xi:=G^{a_{k_{3}}} N_{1}^{a_{k_{1}}} N_{2}^{a_{k_{2}}}$.
Proof. From a classic result on $\psi(t)$ (see [6], page 39), we can write, for any $H \geqq 1$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} ; x) \ll \Xi\left\{N_{1} N_{2} H^{-1}+\sum_{h \leqq H}|S(h)| / h\right\}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
S(h):=\sum_{N_{1}<n_{1} \leqq 2 N_{1}} \psi_{n_{1}} \sum_{N_{2}<n_{2} \leqq 2 N_{2}} \psi_{n_{2}} e\left(h\left(x / n_{1}^{b_{k_{1}}} n_{2}^{b_{k_{2}}}\right)^{b_{k_{3}}}\right)
$$

with $\psi_{n_{j}}:=\left(n_{j} / N_{j}\right)^{a_{k_{j}}-a_{k_{3}} b_{k_{j}} / b_{k_{3}}}$. The Abel summation formula allows us to replace $\psi_{n_{j}}$ by 1 . Applying Lemma 2.1 with $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=\left(-b_{k_{1}} / b_{k_{3}},-b_{k_{2}} / b_{k_{3}}\right),\left(X, M_{1}, M_{2}\right)=\left(G h, N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(h) \ll & \left\{\left(G^{2} h^{2} N_{1}^{3} N_{2}^{3}\right)^{1 / 6}+\left(G^{2} h^{2} N_{1}^{5} N_{2}\right)^{1 / 6}+N_{1} N_{2}^{1 / 2}+\left(G^{3} h^{3} N_{1}^{7}\right)^{1 / 8}+(G h)^{1 / 4} N_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(G h N_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(G^{2} h^{2} N_{1}^{8} N_{2}\right)^{1 / 8}+(G h)^{-1 / 2} N_{2}+G^{-1} h^{-1} N_{1} N_{2}\right\} \mathscr{L} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting this in (3.3), we obtain that for any $H \geqq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} ; x) \ll & \Xi\left\{\left(G^{2} N_{1}^{3} N_{2}^{3} H^{2}\right)^{1 / 6}+\left(G^{2} N_{1}^{5} N_{2} H^{2}\right)^{1 / 6}+\left(G^{3} N_{1}^{7} H^{3}\right)^{1 / 8}\right. \\
& +\left(G N_{1}^{4} H\right)^{1 / 4}+\left(G N_{1} H\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(G^{2} N_{1}^{8} N_{2} H^{2}\right)^{1 / 8}+N_{1} N_{2} H^{-1} \\
& \left.+N_{1} N_{2}^{1 / 2}+G^{-1 / 2} N_{2}+G^{-1} N_{1} N_{2}\right\} \mathscr{L}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the term $N_{1} N_{2} H^{-1}$, this inequality also holds for $0<H<1$. By Lemma 2.4 (iii) of [13], there exists some $H>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} ; x) \ll & \Xi\left\{\left(G^{2} N_{1}^{5} N_{2}^{5}\right)^{1 / 8}+\left(G^{2} N_{1}^{7} N_{2}^{3}\right)^{1 / 8}+\left(G^{3} N_{1}^{10} N_{2}^{3}\right)^{1 / 11}+\left(G N_{1}^{5} N_{2}\right)^{1 / 5}\right. \\
& +\left(G N_{1}^{2} N_{2}\right)^{1 / 3}+\left(G^{2} N_{1}^{10} N_{2}^{3}\right)^{1 / 10}+N_{1} N_{2}^{1 / 2} \\
& \left.+G^{-1 / 2} N_{2}+G^{-1} N_{1} N_{2}\right\} \mathscr{L}^{2}=: \Xi\left(E_{1}+E_{2}+\cdots+E_{9}\right) \mathscr{L}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noticing that $\mathrm{SC}\left(\sum_{2}\right)$ implies $G \geqq N_{2} \geqq N_{1}$, we easily see that $E_{2}, E_{4}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}, E_{9}$ can be absorbed by $E_{1}$ and $E_{3}=\left(E_{1}^{8} E_{5}^{3}\right)^{1 / 11}\left(N_{2} / N_{1}\right)^{-3 / 11}$. Thus $E_{j}(2 \leqq j \leqq 9, j \neq 5)$ are superfluous. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

We are now in a position to prove (3.2). We discuss three possibilities.
$1^{\circ}$ When $\left(a_{k_{1}}, a_{k_{2}}, a_{k_{3}}\right)=(0,0,1)$, we have $\left(b_{k_{1}}, b_{k_{2}}, b_{k_{3}}\right)=(1,1,2), G=\Xi=\left(x / N_{1} N_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Lemma 3.1 offers immediately that

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} ; x) \ll\left\{x^{5 / 8}+\left(x^{2} / N_{2}\right)^{1 / 3}\right\} \mathscr{L}^{2} \ll x^{5 / 8} \mathscr{L}^{2}
$$

if $N_{2} \geqq x^{1 / 8}$. In the contrary case, we have trivially

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} ; x) \ll \Xi N_{1} N_{2} \ll\left(x N_{1} N_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \ll\left(x N_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \ll x^{5 / 8}
$$

$2^{\circ}$ When $\left(a_{k_{1}}, a_{k_{2}}, a_{k_{3}}\right)=(0,1,0)$, we have $\left(b_{k_{1}}, b_{k_{2}}, b_{k_{3}}\right)=(1,2,1), G=x / N_{1} N_{2}^{2}, \Xi=N_{2}$. Noticing that $\operatorname{SC}\left(\sum_{2}\right)$ implies $N_{1} \ll N_{2}, N_{1} N_{2}^{3} \ll x$, Lemma 3.1 immediately gives that

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} ; x) \ll\left\{\left(x^{2} N_{1}^{3} N_{2}^{9}\right)^{1 / 8}+\left(x N_{1} N_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}\right\} \mathscr{L}^{2} \ll x^{5 / 8} \mathscr{L}^{2}
$$

$3^{\circ}$ When $\left(a_{k_{1}}, a_{k_{2}}, a_{k_{3}}\right)=(1,0,0)$, we have $\left(b_{k_{1}}, b_{k_{2}}, b_{k_{3}}\right)=(2,1,1), G=x / N_{1}^{2} N_{2}, \Xi=N_{1}$. Noticing that $\mathrm{SC}\left(\sum_{2}\right)$ implies $N_{1} \ll N_{2}, N_{1}^{2} N_{2}^{2} \ll x$, Lemma 3.1 offers immediately that

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{N} ; x) \ll\left\{\left(x^{2} N_{1}^{9} N_{2}^{3}\right)^{1 / 8}+\left(x N_{1}^{3}\right)^{1 / 3}\right\} \mathscr{L}^{2} \ll x^{5 / 8} \mathscr{L}^{2}
$$

Obviously these estimates imply (3.2). This proves Theorem 1.
4. End of the proof of Theorem 2. Let $g(n)$ be the coefficient of the Dirichlet series $\zeta(2 s)^{3} \prod\left(1-2 p^{-3 s}-p^{-4 s}+2 p^{-5 s}\right)$. In view of $(1.1)$, we see that $\ell_{\tau}(n)=\{\tau(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} ; \cdot) * g\}(n)$ with $\mathbf{a} \stackrel{p}{=}(0,0,1)$ and $\mathbf{b}=(1,1,2)$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n) n^{-s}$ converges absolutely for $\mathfrak{R e} s>\frac{1}{2}$, using Theorem 1, a simple convolution argument immediately shows that

$$
\sum_{n \leqq x} \ell_{\tau}(n)=A_{1} x(\log x)^{2}+A_{2} x \log x+A_{3} x+O\left(x^{5 / 8}(\log x)^{4}\right)
$$

5. Proofs of Theorem 3 and Corollary 1. Let $A(x):=\sum_{n \leqq x} \ell_{\tau}(n)-E(x)$. By the definition of $E(x)$, we easily see that

$$
A(x)=\operatorname{Res}\left\{\mathscr{D}(\tau, s) x^{s} / s, 1\right\}+\operatorname{Res}\left\{\mathscr{D}(\tau, s) x^{s} / s, \frac{1}{2}\right\},
$$

where $\operatorname{Res}\{F(s), a\}$ is the residue of $F(s)$ at $s=a$. By Perron's formula ([15], Theorem II.2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{x} E(u) d u=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \mathscr{D}(\tau, s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} d s-\int_{0}^{x} A(u) d u . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma_{0} \in\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. By (II.3.15) and (II.3.22) of [15], we deduce that for any $T>10$ and any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\int_{\sigma_{0} \leqq \sigma \leqq 2,|t|=T}\left|\mathscr{D}(\tau, s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)}\right||d s| \ll x^{3} / T^{5 / 18-\varepsilon},
$$

and

$$
\int_{\sigma=2,|t| \geqq T}\left|\mathscr{D}(\tau, s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)}\right||d s| \ll x^{3} / T .
$$

Shifting the line of integration from $\sigma=2$ to $\sigma=\sigma_{0}$ and using the preceding estimates, the residue theorem implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \mathscr{D}(\tau, s) & \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} d s=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i T}^{2+i T} \mathscr{D}(\tau, s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} d s+O\left(\frac{x^{3}}{T}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{x} A(u) d u+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\sigma_{0}-i T}^{\sigma_{0}+i T} \mathscr{D}(\tau, s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} d s+O\left(\frac{x^{3}}{T^{5 / 18-\varepsilon}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the relation

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left\{\mathscr{D}(\tau, s) x^{s+1} / s(s+1), 1\right\}+\operatorname{Res}\left\{\mathscr{D}(\tau, s) x^{s+1} / s(s+1), \frac{1}{2}\right\}=\int_{0}^{x} A(u) d u
$$

Making $T \rightarrow \infty$ and inserting the formula obtained in (5.1), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{x} E(u) d u=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\sigma_{0}-i \infty}^{\sigma_{0}+i \infty} \mathscr{D}(\tau, s) \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} d s \ll x^{1+\sigma_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\left|\mathscr{D}\left(\tau, \sigma_{0}+i t\right)\right|}{(|t|+1)^{2}} d t \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observing $\frac{1}{2}<1-\sigma_{0}<\frac{2}{3}$, the functional equation for $\zeta(s)$ ([15], Theorem II.3.3) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}\left(\tau, \sigma_{0}+i t\right) \asymp|t|^{5 / 2-4 \sigma_{0}}\left|\zeta\left(1-\sigma_{0}-i t\right)\right|^{2}\left|\zeta\left(2 \sigma_{0}+i 2 t\right)\right|^{3} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\sigma \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$, we define $m(\sigma)$ to be the supremum of all numbers $m$ such that

$$
\int_{1}^{T}|\zeta(\sigma+i t)|^{m} d t \ll_{\varepsilon} T^{1+\varepsilon}
$$

holds for any $\varepsilon>0$ and any $T \geqq 1$. Recalling $\frac{1}{2}<1-\sigma_{0}, 2 \sigma_{0}<1$ and $m\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \geqq 6$ (Theorem 8.4 of [9]), we show, by the Hölder inequality and (5.3), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\left|\mathscr{D}\left(\tau, \sigma_{0}+i t\right)\right|}{t^{2}} d t & \ll T^{1 / 2-4 \sigma_{0}}\left\{\int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\zeta\left(1-\sigma_{0}-i t\right)\right|^{5} d t\right\}^{2 / 5}\left\{\int_{T}^{2 T}\left|\zeta\left(2 \sigma_{0}+i 2 t\right)\right|^{5} d t\right\}^{3 / 5} \\
& \ll \varepsilon T^{3 / 2-4 \sigma_{0}+\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $\sigma_{0}=\frac{3}{8}+\varepsilon$ and using the inequality obtained with $T=2^{k}$, we find that

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\mathscr{D}\left(\tau, \sigma_{0}+i t\right)\right|}{t^{2}} d t=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}} \frac{\left|\mathscr{D}\left(\tau, \sigma_{0}+i t\right)\right|}{t^{2}} d t \ll \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{3 \varepsilon k}} \lll \varepsilon
$$

This and (5.2) imply the required result.
For Corollary 1, we first see that Theorem 3 yields that

$$
\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \Delta(u) d u \sim \frac{1}{24} A_{4} x^{1 / 2}(\log x)^{2}
$$

In addition a simple calculation shows that

$$
A_{4}=-\frac{1}{16} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \prod_{p}\left(1-2 p^{-3 / 2}-p^{-2}+2 p^{-5 / 2}\right)<0
$$

These imply the required result.
6. Proofs of Theorem 4 and Corollary 2. We first evaluate the coefficient $\ell_{\tau}(n)$. Since this function is multiplicative, it is enough to study $\ell_{\tau}\left(p^{v}\right)$ for prime numbers $p$ and positive integers $\nu$.

Lemma 6.1. Let p be a prime number, $v \geqq 1$ a positive integer and $m:=[v / 2]$. Then we have

$$
\ell_{\tau}\left(p^{v}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}(v-2 m+2 j+1)(j+1) p^{m-j}
$$

Proof. Obviously, we may evaluate directly $\ell_{\tau}\left(p^{v}\right)$ by (1.1). However, using the relation $\tau(\mathscr{G})=\tau(S)$, we can give a more succinct proof. Let $\tau\left\langle p^{j}, p^{v-j}\right\rangle$ be the number of factorisations of the SNF matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left[p^{j}, p^{v-j}\right]$, the preceding relation implies $\ell_{\tau}\left(p^{\nu}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{m} \tau\left\langle p^{j}, p^{v-j}\right\rangle$. From Remark 1.4 of [12], we find that

$$
\ell_{\tau}\left(p^{v}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{j}(v-2 k+1) p^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(v-2 k+1)(m-k+1) p^{k}
$$

which is equivalent to the required result. This proves Lemma 6.1.

We note that $v-2 m+1 \neq 0$ (it is 1 or 2 depending on whether $v$ is even or odd), hence $\ell_{\tau}\left(p^{v}\right)$ is a polynomial in $p$ of degree $m$. Thus, for example, we have

$$
\ell_{\tau}(p)=2, \quad \ell_{\tau}\left(p^{2}\right)=p+6, \quad \ell_{\tau}\left(p^{3}\right)=2 p+8, \quad \ell_{\tau}\left(p^{4}\right)=p^{2}+6 p+15 .
$$

Now we are in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 4.
By using Lemma 6.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ell_{\tau}\left(p^{2 m}\right)=p^{m}\left(1+\sum_{1 \leqq j \leqq m-2}(2 j+1)(j+1) / p^{j}\right) \quad(m=1,2, \ldots),  \tag{6.1}\\
& \ell_{\tau}\left(p^{2 m+1}\right)=2 p^{m}\left(1+\sum_{1 \leqq j \leqq m-2}(j+1)^{2} / p^{j}\right) \quad(m=0,1,2, \ldots) . \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, we easily deduce that

$$
\ell_{\tau}\left(p^{\nu}\right) \leqq p^{v / 2}\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2 j+1)(j+1) / p^{j}\right) .
$$

Since $\ell_{\tau}(n)$ is multiplicative, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell_{\tau}(n) & \leqq \sqrt{n} \prod_{p^{\nu} \| n}\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2 j+1)(j+1) / p^{j}\right) \leqq \sqrt{n} \prod_{p \leqq p_{\omega(n)}}\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2 j+1)(j+1) / p^{j}\right) \\
& \leqq \sqrt{n} \prod_{p \leqq p_{\omega(n)}}(1-1 / p)^{-6} \prod_{p \leqq p_{\omega(n)}}(1-1 / p)^{6}\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(2 j+1)(j+1) / p^{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\omega(n)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of $n$ and $p_{j}$ denotes the $j$ th prime number. By Mertens' formula and the relation $\log p_{\omega(n)} \leqq\{1+o(1)\} \log _{2} n$, it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell_{\tau}(n)}{\sqrt{n}\left(\log _{2} n\right)^{6}} \leqq C_{0} . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining $n_{k, m}:=p_{1}^{2 m} \cdots p_{k}^{2 m}$ for $k \geqq 1$ and $m \geqq 4$, the first relation of (6.1) yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell_{\tau}(n)}{\sqrt{n}\left(\log _{2} n\right)^{6}} & \geqq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell_{\tau}\left(n_{k, m}\right)}{\sqrt{n_{k, m}\left(\log _{2} n_{k, m}\right)^{6}}} \\
& =e^{6 \gamma} \prod_{p}(1-1 / p)^{6}\left(1+\sum_{1 \leqq j \leqq m-2}(2 j+1)(j+1) / p^{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We let $m \rightarrow \infty$ and together with (6.3), we obtain the first assertion of Theorem 4. The lower bound is trivial, since $\ell_{\tau}(n) \geqq 2$ and $\ell_{\tau}(p)=2$.

For verifying Corollary 2, we can write

$$
\ell_{\tau}\left(n_{k, 1}\right)=A\left(n_{k, 1}\right)-A\left(n_{k, 1}-1\right)+E\left(n_{k, 1}\right)-E\left(n_{k, 1}-1\right) .
$$

Since $A\left(n_{k, 1}\right)-A\left(n_{k, 1}-1\right) \ll\left(\log n_{k, 1}\right)^{2}$, the first relation of (6.1) implies immediately

$$
\left|E\left(n_{k, 1}\right)-E\left(n_{k, 1}-1\right)\right| \gg \sqrt{n_{k, 1}}\left(\log _{2} n_{k, 1}\right)^{6} .
$$

7. Proof of Theorem 5. For each $z>0$, the function $\ell_{\tau}(n) z^{\omega(n)}$ is multiplicative and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\tau}(n) z^{\omega(n)} n^{-s}=\zeta(s)^{2 z} \zeta(2 s-1)^{z} G(s, z) \quad(\text { Re } s>1)
$$

where $G(s, z)$ is a Dirichlet series absolutely convergent for $\mathfrak{R e} s>\frac{1}{2}$ and for any $z>0$ fixed. By the Selberg-Delange method (Chapter II. 5 of [15], with minor modification), we can show

$$
\sum_{n \leqq x} \ell_{\tau}(n) z^{\omega(n)} \ll x(\log x)^{3 z-1}
$$

Hence we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{n \leqq x \\
\left|\omega(n)-3 \log _{2} x\right|>\varepsilon \log _{2} x}} \ell_{\tau}(n) & \leqq \sum_{n \leqq x} \ell_{\tau}(n)\left\{\left(1+\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon\right)^{\omega(n)-(3+\varepsilon) \log _{2} x}+\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \varepsilon\right)^{\omega(n)-(3-\varepsilon) \log _{2} x}\right\} \\
& \ll x(\log x)^{2-3 \eta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta$ is defined as in the formulation of Theorem 5 . Combining this with (1.2) yields the second assertion.
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[^0]:    *) For definitions of $\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega_{3}\right)$, see page 79-80 of [6].

